

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/645,156	JUNG, JOO-SUCK
	Examiner Terrence R. Till	Art Unit 1744

**All Participants:**

(1) Terrence R. Till.

**Status of Application:** Amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) P. Greeley.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 July 2006

**Time:** 10:45am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102 and 103

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*Admitted Prior Art*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner phoned applicant's representative to inform him the amendments to the claims would not result in an allowance. The examiner proposed replacing "integrally" with --unitarily-- to obviate the rejections over the admitted prior art.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)